# Note on the Theory of Correlation Functions

N. A. Carella

arXiv:1603.06758v3 [math.GM] 2 Sep 2016

## Contents

**1 Introduction** **2**

**2 Representations of Liouville and Mobius Functions** **3**
2.1 Analytic Expressions . . . 3
2.2 Problems . . . 4

**3 Some Average Orders Of Arithmetic Functions** **5**
3.1 Unconditional Estimates . . . 5
3.2 Conditional Estimates . . . 6
3.3 Densities For Squarefree Integers . . . 7
3.4 Subsets of Squarefree Integers of Zero Densities . . . 8
3.5 Problems . . . 9

**4 Signs Oscillations** **9**
4.1 Simple Patterns . . . 10
4.2 Orthogonal Sequences . . . 10

**5 Correlations Functions Of Degree Two** **11**
5.1 Unconditional Estimates . . . 11
5.2 Conditional Upper Bounds . . . 13
5.3 Comparison . . . 13
5.4 Problems . . . 13

**6 Correlations Functions Of Degree Three** **15**
6.1 Unconditional Estimates . . . 15
6.2 Conditional Upper Bounds . . . 16
6.3 Comparison . . . 17
6.4 Problems . . . 17

**7 Correlations Functions Of Higher Degrees** **18**
7.1 Unconditional Estimates . . . 18
7.2 Conditional Upper Bounds . . . 20
7.3 Problems . . . 20

**8 Some Arithmetic Correlation Functions** **21**
8.1 Divisors Correlation Functions . . . 21
8.2 Characters Correlation Functions . . . 21

**9 Improved Correlation Result** **21**
9.1 Main Results . . . 21
9.2 Comparison . . . 22

**10 Correlation Functions over Subsets of Integers** **22**

**11 Exponential Sums Estimates** **23**
11.1 Sharper Estimate . . . 23
11.2 Conditional Upper Bound . . . 23
11.3 Problems . . . 24

**12 Twisted Arithmetic Sums** **25**

**13 Fractional Functions** **25**

*Mathematic Subjects Classifications*: Primary 11N37, Secondary 11L40.
*Keywords*: Correlation function, Multiplicative function, Liouville function, Mobius function.

---

# 1 Introduction

This note deals with the correlation functions and distribution functions of binary sequences. The purpose is to improve the current theoretical results for the Mobius sequence $\{\mu(n) : n \geq 1\}$ and the Liouville sequence $\{\lambda(n) : n \geq 1\}$. Given a large number $x \geq 1$, these results imply that the short sequences $\{\mu(n), \mu(n+1), \ldots, \mu(n+r-1)\}$ and $\{\lambda(n), \lambda(n+1), \ldots, \lambda(n+r-1)\}$ of length $r = [x] \geq 1$ are well distributed and have "two-value" correlation functions. The theory and recent results for the correlation functions of some binary sequences are investigated in [14], et alii.

The main results are Theorem 1.1 for the Mobius sequence and Theorem 1.2 for the Liouville sequence.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let $C > 2$ be a constant, and let $k \geq 1$ be a small fixed integer. Then, for every large number $x > 1$,*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n+\tau_1)\mu(n+\tau_2)\cdots\mu(n+\tau_k) = O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^C}\right) \tag{1.1}$$

for any fixed sequence of integers $\tau_1 < \tau_2 < \cdots < \tau_k$.

This result improves the upper bound for the average order of the correlations of Mobius functions

$$\sum_{1 \leq \tau_i \leq T} \left| \sum_{x \leq n \leq 2x} \mu(n+\tau_1)\mu(n+\tau_2)\cdots\mu(n+\tau_k) \right| \ll k\left(\frac{\log\log T}{\log T} + \frac{1}{\log^{1/3000} x} T^k x\right), \tag{1.2}$$

where $10 \leq T \leq x$, see [23, p. 4], and [43]. Other related works are the special case

$$\sum_{n \leq r} \mu(n)\mu(r-n) = O(x/(\log x)^B) \tag{1.3}$$

for almost every $r > 1$, and $B > 0$ constant, which is proved in [19], and the functions fields versions in [17], [16], and [44]. Specifically, there is an explicit upper bound

$$\left| \sum_{F \in M_n} \mu(F+f_1)\mu(F+f_2)\cdots\mu(F+f_k) \right| \leq 2knq^{n-1/2} + 3rn^2q^{n-1}, \tag{1.4}$$

where $f_i \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ are distinct fixed polynomials of degree $\deg(f_i) < n$, and $M_n = \{F \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] : \deg(F) = n\}$ is the subset of polynomials of degree $\deg(f_i) = n$, this appears in [16].

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let $C > 2$ be a constant, and let $k \geq 1$ be a small fixed integer. Then, for every large number $x > 1$,*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \lambda(n+\tau_1)\lambda(n+\tau_2)\cdots\lambda(n+\tau_k) = O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^C}\right) \tag{1.5}$$

*for any fixed sequence of integers* $\tau_1 < \tau_2 < \cdots < \tau_k$.

The first few Sections cover basic concepts, and the average orders of some arithmetic functions. The upper bound for simpler correlation functions $\sum_{n\leq x} \mu(n)\mu(n+1) \ll x/(\log x)^C$, in Theorem 5.2 in Section 5, and $\sum_{n\leq x} \mu(n)\mu(n+1)\mu(n+2) \ll x/(\log x)^C$, in Theorem 6.2 in Section 6, are considered first. The more general proofs for Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 appear in Section 9.

## 2 Representations of Liouville and Mobius Functions

The symbols $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ and $\mathbb{Z} = \{\ldots, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ denote the subsets of integers. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the prime divisors counting functions are defined by

$$\omega(n) = \sum_{p|n} 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Omega(n) = \sum_{p^v|n} 1 \tag{2.1}$$

respectively. The former is not sensitive to the multiplicity of each prime $p|n$, but the latter does count the multiplicity of each prime $p|n$.

### 2.1 Analytic Expressions

For $n \geq 1$, the quasi Mobius function $\mu_* : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ and the Liouville function $\lambda : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \{-1, 1\}$, in terms of the prime divisors counting functions, are defined by

$$\mu_*(n) = (-1)^{\omega(n)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lambda(n) = (-1)^{\Omega(n)} \tag{2.2}$$

respectively. In addition, the Mobius function $\mu : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ is defined by

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\omega(n)} & n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_v \\ 0 & n \neq p_1 p_2 \cdots p_v, \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

where the $p_i \geq 2$ are primes.

The quasi Mobius function and the Mobius function coincide on the subset of squarefree integers. From this observation arises a fundamental identity.

**Lemma 2.1.** *For any integer* $n \geq 1$*, the Mobius function has the expansion*

$$\mu(n) = (-1)^{\omega(n)}\mu^2(n). \tag{2.4}$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *For any integer* $n \geq 1$*, the quasi Mobius function has the expansion*

$$(-1)^{\omega(n)} = \sum_{q|n} \mu(q)d(q), \tag{2.5}$$

where $d(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1$ is the number of divisors function, see [51, p. 473].

**Lemma 2.3.** *For any integer $n \geq 1$, the Liouville function has the expansion*

$$\lambda(n) = \sum_{d^2|n} \mu(n/d^2). \tag{2.6}$$

**Proof:** Observe that $\lambda(n)$ is a completely multiplicative function, so it is sufficient to verify the claim for prime powers $p^v, v \geq 1$, refer to [1, p. 50], and [51, p. 471], for similar information. ■

A direct approach can be used to derive the last convolution formula via the series

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^s} = \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{1}{n^{2s}} \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^s}. \tag{2.7}$$

The characteristic function for squarefree integers is closely linked to the Mobius function.

**Lemma 2.4.** *For any integer $n \geq 1$, the Mobius function has the expansion*

$$\mu(n) = \sum_{d^2|n} \mu(d)\lambda(n/d^2). \tag{2.8}$$

**Proof:** Use Lemma 2.3, and the inversion formula $f(n) = \sum_{d|n} g(d)$ and $g(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d)f(n/d)$, refer to [1], and [51], for similar information. ■

**Lemma 2.5.** *For any integer $n \geq 1$, the characteristic function for squarefree integers has the expansion*

$$|\mu(n)| = \sum_{d^2|n} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_v, \\ 0 & n \neq p_1 p_2 \cdots p_v, \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

where the $p_i \geq 2$ are primes.

The characteristic function for square integers is closely linked to the Liouville function and has similar properties.

**Lemma 2.6.** *For any integer $n \geq 1$, the characteristic function for square integers has the expansion*

$$\sum_{d|n} \lambda(d) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = m^2, \\ 0 & n \neq m^2, \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

## 2.2 Problems

**Problem 2.1.** Use Lemma 2.3 to show that

$$\sum_{n\leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} < 1 \text{ and } \sum_{n\leq x} \frac{\lambda(n)}{n} < 2. \tag{2.11}$$

These are simple explicit estimates; sharper explicit estimates of the form $\sum_{n\leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} < 1/\log x$ are proved in [10].

# 3 Some Average Orders Of Arithmetic Functions

The averages orders for several arithmetic functions are calculated here. These estimates, which are of independent interest, will be used later on.

## 3.1 Unconditional Estimates

**Theorem 3.1.** *If $C > 0$ is a constant, and $\mu$ is the Mobius function, then, for any large number $x > 1$,*

(i) $\displaystyle\sum_{n\leq x}\mu(n) = O\left(\frac{x}{\log^C x}\right).$ (ii) $\displaystyle\sum_{n\leq x}\frac{\mu(n)}{n} = O\left(\frac{1}{\log^C x}\right).$

**Proof:** See [20, p. 6], [34, p. 347]. ■

There are sharper bounds, say, $O(xe^{-\sqrt{\log x}})$, but the simpler notation will be used here. And the conditional estimate $O(x^{1/2}\log x)$ presupposes that the nontrivial zeros of the zeta function $\zeta(\rho) = 0$ in the critical strip $\{0 < \Re e(s) < 1\}$ are of the form $\rho = 1/2 + it, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, the explicit upper bounds are developed in [10].

The Mobius function over an arithmetic progression is linked to the Siegel-Walfisz Theorem for primes in arithmetic progressions, it has the upper bound given below, see [36, p. 424], [45, p. 385].

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let $a, q$ be integers such that $gcd(a, q) = 1$. If $C > 0$ is a constant, and $\mu$ is the Mobius function, then, for any large number $x > 1$,*

(i) $\displaystyle\sum_{n\leq x, n\equiv a \bmod q}\mu(n) = O\left(\frac{x}{q\log^C x}\right)$ (ii) $\displaystyle\sum_{n\leq x, n\equiv a \bmod q}\frac{\mu(n)}{n} = O\left(\frac{1}{q\log^C x}\right).$

There is another approach through the generalized Bombieri-Vinogradov Theorem, which provides the summation

$$\sum_{n\leq x, n\equiv a \bmod q}\mu(n) = \frac{1}{\varphi(q)}\sum_{n\leq x, \gcd(n,q)=1}\mu(n) + O\left(\frac{\sqrt{q}x}{\log^C x}\right), \tag{3.1}$$

confer [23, p. 40] for more details.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let $C > 1$ be a constant, and let $d(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1$ be the divisors function. Then, for any sufficiently large number $x > 1$,*

$$\sum_{n\leq x}\mu(n)d(n) = O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^C}\right). \tag{3.2}$$

**Proof:** Rewrite the finite sum as

$$\sum_{n\leq x}\mu(n)d(n) = \sum_{n\leq x}\mu(n)\sum_{d|n}1 = \sum_{d\leq x,\, n\leq x/d}\sum\mu(n). \tag{3.3}$$

Next, applying Theorem 3.1 to the inner finite sum yields:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{d\leq x,\, n\leq x/d}\sum\mu(n) &= O\left(\frac{x}{\log^C x}\sum_{d\leq x}\frac{1}{d}\right) &(3.4)\\ &= O\left(\frac{x}{\log^{C-1} x}\right), &(3.5)\end{aligned}$$

with $C > 1$. ■

Exactly the same result is obtained via the hyperbola method, see [50, p. 322]. For $C > 1$, this estimate of the twisted summatory divisor function is nontrivial. The summatory divisor function has the asymptotic formula $\sum_{n\leq x} d(n) = x(\log x + 2\gamma - 1) + O(x^{1/2})$.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let $C > 1$ be a constant, and let $d(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1$ be the divisor function. Then, for any sufficiently large number $x > 1$,*

$$\sum_{n\leq x} \frac{\mu(n)d(n)}{n} = O\left(\frac{1}{(\log x)^C}\right). \tag{3.6}$$

**Proof:** Let $U(x) = \sum_{n\leq x} \mu(n)d(n)$. A summation by parts leads to the integral representation

$$\sum_{n\leq x} \frac{\mu(n)d(n)}{n} = \int_1^x \frac{1}{t} dU(t). \tag{3.7}$$

For information on the Abel summation formula, see [11, p. 4], [45, p. 4], [56, p. 4]. Evaluate the integral:

$$\int_1^x \frac{1}{t} dU(t) = \frac{1}{x} \cdot O\left(\frac{x}{\log^C x}\right) + \int_1^x \frac{1}{t^2} U(t)dt = O\left(\frac{x}{\log^C x}\right), \tag{3.8}$$

where the constant is $C - 1 > 0$. ■

**Theorem 3.5.** *If $C > 0$ is a constant, and $\lambda$ is the Liouville function, then, for any large number $x > 1$,*

$$\text{(i)} \sum_{n\leq x} \lambda(n) = O\left(\frac{x}{\log^C x}\right). \qquad \text{(ii)} \sum_{n\leq x} \frac{\lambda(n)}{n} = O\left(\frac{1}{\log^C x}\right). \tag{3.9}$$

**Proof:** These follow from Lemma 3.4, and 3.5 via Lemma 2.3. ■

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let $C > 1$ be a constant, and let $d(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1$ be the divisors function. Then, for any sufficiently large number $x > 1$,*

$$\sum_{n\leq x} \lambda(n)d(n) = O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^C}\right). \tag{3.10}$$

**Proof:** Use Lemma 2.3, and Lemma 3.3. ■

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let $C > 1$ be a constant, and let $d(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1$ be the divisor function. Then, for any sufficiently large number $x > 1$,*

$$\sum_{n\leq x} \frac{\lambda(n)d(n)}{n} = O\left(\frac{1}{(\log x)^C}\right). \tag{3.11}$$

**Proof:** Let $R(x) = \sum_{n\leq x} \lambda(n)d(n)$. Now use summation by parts as illustrated in the proof of Lemma 3.4. ■

## 3.2 Conditional Estimates

The conditional estimates assume the optimal zerofree region $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \Re e(s) > 1/2\}$ of the zeta function.

**Theorem 3.8.** *Suppose that $\zeta(\rho) = 0 \iff \rho = 1/2 + it, t \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\mu(n) = -1, 0, 1$ is the Mobius function, then, for any large number $x > 1$,*

$$\text{(i)} \sum_{n\leq x} \mu(n) = O\left(x^{1/2}\log x\right). \qquad \text{(ii)} \sum_{n\leq x} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} = O\left(\frac{\log^2 x}{x^{1/2}}\right). \tag{3.12}$$

These results, and other sharper bounds, are widely available in the literature, see [45], [56], et cetera.

**Lemma 3.9.** *Suppose that* $\zeta(\rho) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \rho = 1/2 + it, t \in \mathbb{R}$. *Let* $d(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1$ *be the divisor function. Then, for any sufficiently large number* $x > 1$,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) d(n) = O\left(x^{1/2} \log x\right). \tag{3.13}$$

**Proof:** The generating series has the expression

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\mu(n) d(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{p \geq 2} \left(1 - \frac{2}{p^s}\right) = \frac{1}{\zeta(s)} \prod_{p \geq 2} \left(1 - \frac{2}{p^s}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1} \tag{3.14}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\zeta(s)} \prod_{p \geq 2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s - 1}\right) = \frac{g(s)}{\zeta(s)}, \tag{3.15}$$

where $g(s)$ is an absolutely convergent holomorphic function on the complex half plane $\Re e(s) > 1/2$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$ be a large real number. Applying the Perron formula returns:

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) d(n) = \frac{1}{i2\pi} \int_{c - i\infty}^{c + i\infty} \frac{g(s)}{\zeta(s)} \frac{x^s}{s} ds = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{P}} \mathrm{Res}(s, f(s)), \tag{3.16}$$

where $c$ is a constant, and $\mathcal{P} = \{0, \rho : \zeta(\rho) = 0\}$ is the set of poles of the meromorphic function $f(s) = \frac{g(s)}{\zeta(s)} \frac{x^s}{s}$. Using standard analytic methods, [45, p. 139], [56, p. 219], et cetera, this reduces to the sum of residues

$$\sum_{s \in \mathcal{P}} \mathrm{Res}(s, f(s)) = O\left(x^{1/2} \log^2 x\right). \tag{3.17}$$

Let $x \in \mathbb{N}$ be a large integer, and $\varepsilon > 0$ be an arbitrarily small number. Since the average

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{n \leq x - \varepsilon} \mu(n) d(n) + \sum_{n \leq x + \varepsilon} \mu(n) d(n) \right) = O\left(x^{1/2} \log^2 x\right), \tag{3.18}$$

holds for all integers $x \geq 1$, the upper bound holds for all large real numbers $x \geq 1$. ■

**Lemma 3.10.** *Suppose that* $\zeta(\rho) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \rho = 1/2 + it, t \in \mathbb{R}$. *Let* $d(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1$ *be the divisor function. Then, for any sufficiently large number* $x > 1$,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n) d(n)}{n} = O\left(\frac{\log^2 x}{x^{1/2}}\right). \tag{3.19}$$

The proofs are similar to those in Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, but use the conditional results in Lemma 3.9.

### 3.3 Densities For Squarefree Integers

The subset of squarefree integres is ususlly denoted by $\mathcal{Q} = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t, p_k \text{ prime }\}$ and the subset of nonsquarefree integers is denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{Q}} = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \neq p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t, p_k \text{ prime }\}$.

**Lemma 3.11.** *Let* $\mu(n)$ *be the Mobius function. Then, for any sufficiently large number* $x > 1$,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)^2 = \frac{6}{\pi^2} x + O\left(x^{1/2}\right). \tag{3.20}$$

**Lemma 3.12.** *Let $\mu(n)$ be the Mobius function. Then, for any sufficiently large number $x > 1$,*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)^2 = \Omega\left(x^{1/2}\right). \tag{3.21}$$

**Lemma 3.13.** *Let $d(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1$ be the divisors function, and let $\mu(n)$ be the Mobius function. Then, for any sufficiently large number $x > 1$,*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)^2 d(n) = \frac{6}{\pi^2}(\log x + 1 - \gamma)x + O\left(x^{1/2}\right). \tag{3.22}$$

## 3.4 Subsets of Squarefree Integers of Zero Densities

A technique for estimating finite sums over subsets of integers $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{N}$ of zero densities in the set of integers $\mathbb{N}$ is sketched here. Write the counting function as $A(x) = \#\{n \leq x : n \in \mathcal{A}\}$. The case for squarefree integers occurs frequently in number theory. In this case, let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{Q} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(n) \neq 0\}$, and the measure $A(x) = \#\{n \leq x : n \in \mathcal{A}\} = o(x)$.

**Lemma 3.14.** *Let $C > 1$ be a constant, let $d(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1$ be the divisors function. If $A(x) = O(x/\log^C x)$, then, for any sufficiently large number $x > 1$,*

$$\sum_{n \leq x, n \in \mathcal{A}} \mu(n)^2 d(n) = O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^C}\right). \tag{3.23}$$

**Proof:** For squarefree integers the divisor function reduces to $d(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1 = 2^{\omega(n)}$, but this is not required here. Rewrite the finite sum as

$$\sum_{n \leq x, n \in \mathcal{A}} \mu(n)^2 d(n) = \sum_{n \leq x, n \in \mathcal{A}} \mu(n)^2 \sum_{d|n} 1 = \sum_{d \leq x,\, n \leq x/d, n \in \mathcal{A}} \sum \mu(n)^2. \tag{3.24}$$

Next, applying the measure $A(x) = \#\{n \leq x : n \in \mathcal{A}\} = O(x/\log^C x)$ to the inner finite sum yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d \leq x,\, n \leq x/d, n \in \mathcal{A}} \sum \mu(n)^2 &= O\left(\frac{x}{\log^C x} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{1}{d}\right) && (3.25) \\ &= O\left(\frac{x}{\log^{C-1} x}\right), && (3.26) \end{aligned}$$

with $C > 1$. ■

**Lemma 3.15.** *Let $C > 1$ be a constant, and let $d(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1$ be the divisor function. If $A(x) = O(x/\log^C x)$, then, for any sufficiently large number $x > 1$,*

$$\sum_{n \leq x, n \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{\mu(n)^2 d(n)}{n} = O\left(\frac{1}{(\log x)^C}\right). \tag{3.27}$$

**Proof:** Let $R(x) = \sum_{n \leq x, n \in \mathcal{A}} \mu(n)^2 d(n)$. A summation by parts leads to the integral representation

$$\sum_{n \leq x, n \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{\mu(n)^2 d(n)}{n} = \int_1^x \frac{1}{t} dR(t). \tag{3.28}$$

For information on the Abel summation formula, see [11, p. 4], [45, p. 4], [56, p. 4]. Evaluate the integral:

$$\int_1^x \frac{1}{t} dR(t) = \frac{1}{x} \cdot O\left(\frac{x}{\log^C x}\right) + \int_1^x \frac{1}{t^2} R(t) dt = O\left(\frac{1}{\log^C x}\right), \tag{3.29}$$

where the constant is $C - 1 > 0$. ■

### 3.5 Problems

**Problem 3.1.** Use Lemma 2.3 to prove Lemma 3.5

**Problem 3.2.** Let $x \geq 1$ be a large number. Show that the Prime Number Theorem implies that

$$\sum_{n \leq x, \mu(n)=1} \mu(n) = \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1 + \mu(n)}{2} = \frac{3}{\pi^2} x + O(x^{1/2}). \tag{3.30}$$

**Problem 3.3.** Let $x \geq 1$ be a large number. Show that the Prime Number Theorem implies that

$$\sum_{n \leq x, \mu(n)=-1} \mu(n) = \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1 - \mu(n)}{2} = \frac{3}{\pi^2} x + O(x^{1/2}). \tag{3.31}$$

**Problem 3.4.** Let $x \geq 1$ be a large number, and let $\{s_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ be a subsequence of integers, including random sequences. Compute an asymptotic formula or estimate for

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(s_n). \tag{3.32}$$

**Problem 3.5.** Let $x \geq 1$ be a large number. Show that the main term in the finite sum

$$\#\{n \leq x : n = m^2\} = \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{d|n} \lambda(d) = [x^{1/2}] + E(x), \tag{3.33}$$

is $M(x) = x^{1/2}$. But the error term $E(x) = O(x^{1/2})$ has the same order of magnitude. Hence, it can change signs infinitely often.

**Problem 3.6.** Let $x \geq 1$ be a large number. Show that the main term in the finite sum

$$x^{1/2} \leq \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{d|n} \lambda(d) < 2x^{1/2}. \tag{3.34}$$

## 4 Signs Oscillations

The observed signs changes of the multiplicative function $\mu : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ are sufficiently random. This phenomenon is known as the *Mobius randomness principle*, [36, p. 338]. In fact, the number of consecutive signs changes $\mu(n) = -\mu(n+1)$ over the interval $[1, x]$ satisfies the lower bound $\gg x/(\log x)^8$, confer [12], [24], [30], [29], and the recent stronger result in [39, Corollary 4], et alii.

### 4.1 Simple Patterns

The elementary concepts are discussed in [51, p. 412], and [48]. A pattern of length $k+1 \geq 1$ is a vector of values $(e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ where $e_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Since every sequence of consecutive integers of length $k \geq 4$ has an integer divisible by four, the pattern $e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3 = 0, e_4, \ldots, e_k$, or a linear shift, must occur on every sequence

$$\mu(n) = e_0, \mu(n+1) = e_1, \mu(n+2) = e_2, \mu(n+3) = e_3, \ldots, \mu(n+k) = e_k \tag{4.1}$$

of length $k \geq 4$ where $e_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$.
But for shorter pattern, all the possible combination can occur. For example, if $x \geq 1$ is large, then every short interval $[x, 2x]$ has a consecutive sign change $\mu(n) = -\mu(n+1)$ with $n \in [x, 2x]$ as $x \longrightarrow \infty$.

A basic result on the patterns of the Mobius function is proved here.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let $k \geq 1$ be a fixed integer. Then, there exists two infinite sequences of integers $M_m \longrightarrow \infty$, and $n \leq M_m$ such that*

$$\mu(n) = e_0, \quad \mu(n+1) = e_1, \quad \mu(n+2) = e_2, \quad \ldots, \quad \mu(n+k) = e_k, \tag{4.2}$$

where the values $e_i = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq r$ specify a fixed pattern.

**Proof:** Let $p_{m+i}$ be primes for $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k$, and let $M_m = p_m^2 p_{m+1}^2 p_{m+2}^2 \cdots p_{m+k}^2$. Consider the system of congruences

$$n \equiv e_0 \bmod p_m^2, \quad n+1 \equiv e_1 \bmod p_{m+1}^2, \quad n+2 \equiv e_2 \bmod p_{m+2}^2, \quad \ldots, \quad n+k \equiv e_k \bmod p_{m+k}^2. \tag{4.3}$$

Since the Nicomachus functional $(x_0 = e_0, x_1 = e_1 - 1, x_2 = e_2 - 2, \ldots, x_k = e_k - k) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}$ is one-to-one, see [27, p. 48], there is a unique integer $n \geq 1$ for each distinct $M = M_m \geq 2$. In particular, as $m \longrightarrow \infty$, a sequence of infinitely many integers $n$ that satisfies (4.2) are generated. ■

The size $x \geq 1$ of the interval $[1, x]$, restricts the length $k+1 \geq 1$ of the fixed patterns $(e_0, e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k)$. Exampli gratia, using consecutive primes, the maximal length of any pattern can be estimated as follows:

$$M_m = p_m^2 p_{m+1}^2 p_{+2}^2 \cdots p_{m+k}^2 \leq 2^{2^{k+1}} \leq x, \tag{4.4}$$

where $p_1 = 2, p_2 < 2p_1, p_3 < 2p_2, \ldots$. Thus, $k+1 \leq \log\log x / \log 2$.

Some theoretical and numerical data for the Liouville function $\lambda(f(n))$ with some polynomials $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ arguments are compiled in [8]; and some results for cubic polynomials are given in [33].

### 4.2 Orthogonal Sequences

**Definition 4.2.** A multiplicative function $f : \mathbb{N} \to [-1, 1]$ is said to be orthogonal to the Mobius sequence $\{\mu(n) : n \geq 1\}$ if

$$\sum_{p \geq 2} \frac{1 + f(p)}{p} \tag{4.5}$$

diverges. Otherwise it is not orthogonal.

**Example 4.3.** (i) Every multiplicative function $f(n) \geq 0$ is orthogonal to the Mobius function.

(ii) If a multiplicative function $f(n) \geq 0$ for all but a subset of integers $n \geq 1$ of zero density, then it is orthogonal to the Mobius function.

This concept of defining orthogonal functions was extended to a metric

$$D(f,g) = \left| \sum_{p \geq 2} \frac{1 + \Re e(f(p)\overline{g(p)})}{p} \right|^{1/2} \tag{4.6}$$

in the space of multiplicative functions $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$, see [28]. Recent applications are given in [37], and other authors. An application to iterated sequences is discussed in [55].

---

# 5 Correlations Functions Of Degree Two

The multiplicative function $\mu : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ has sufficiently many sign changes to force meaningful cancellation on the twisted summatory functions $\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) f(n)$ for some functions $f : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(n) \neq \lambda(n), \mu(n), \mu_*(n)$, see Section 2 for the definitions of these functions. This randomness phenomenon is discussed in Section 4.

## 5.1 Unconditional Estimates

The normalized correlation function is considered first. Subsequently, the standard correlation function is derived from it.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let $C > 2$ be a constant, and let $\mu : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ be the Mobius function. Then, for any sufficiently large number $x > 1$,*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)\mu(n+1)}{n} = O\left(\frac{1}{\log^C x}\right). \tag{5.1}$$

**Proof:** By Lemma 2.1, the normalized correlation function has the equivalent form

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)\mu(n+1)}{n} = \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{(-1)^{\omega(n)}\mu(n)^2\mu(n+1)}{n}. \tag{5.2}$$

Applying Lemma 2.2, and reversing the order of summation yield:

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{(-1)^{\omega(n)}\mu^2(n)\mu(n+1)}{n} = \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu^2(n)\mu(n+1)}{n} \sum_{q|n} \mu(q)d(q) \tag{5.3}$$

$$= \sum_{q \leq x,\, n \leq x, q|n} \sum \frac{\mu^2(n)\mu(n+1)\mu(q)d(q)}{n}. \tag{5.4}$$

Information and other examples on inverting the order of summation are given in [45, p. 35], [49, p. 27], [50, p. 216], [53, p. 83], [56, p. 36], et cetera.

Replacing the change of variable $1 \leq n = qm \leq x$, and separating the variables return a basic decomposition as product of two simpler finite sums:

$$\sum_{q \leq x,\, n \leq x, q|n} \sum \frac{\mu^2(n)\mu(n+1)\mu(q)d(q)}{n} = \sum_{q \leq x} \frac{\mu(q)d(q)}{q} \sum_{m \leq x/q} \frac{\mu^2(qm)\mu(qm+1)}{m} \tag{5.5}$$

$$= \sum_{q \leq x} \frac{\mu(q)d(q)}{q} \sum_{\substack{m \leq x/q, \\ \gcd(m,q)=1}} \frac{\mu^2(m)\mu(qm+1)}{m}. \tag{5.6}$$

The inner finite sum is a Mobius sum over the arithmetic progression $\{qm+1 : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ restricted to the squarefree integers $qm \geq 1$, see Theorem 3.2 for background and references on this topic. This basic decomposition into a product of two simpler finite sums, confer (5.2) to (5.5), facilitates a simple technique for estimating its upper bound.

A routine calculation shows that $\mu(qm+1) \neq \mu(q)\mu(m)$, for all but a subset of integers $qm \geq 1$ of zero density in $\mathbb{N}$, see Lemma 3.12, and Problems 5.1, and 5.2. This constraint preempts the possibility of a correlated finite sum, exempli gratia,

$$\sum_{q\leq x} \frac{\mu(q)d(q)}{q} \sum_{\substack{m\leq x/q,\\ \gcd(m,q)=1}} \frac{\mu^2(m)\mu(qm+1)}{m} = \sum_{q\leq x} \frac{\mu(q)^2 d(q)}{q} \sum_{\substack{m\leq x/q,\\ \gcd(m,q)=1}} \frac{\mu(m)}{m} \tag{5.7}$$

$$\gg \log x. \tag{5.8}$$

Take the absolute value of the penultimate equation to reach the inequality:

$$\left|\sum_{q\leq x} \frac{\mu(q)d(q)}{q} \sum_{m\leq x/q} \frac{\mu^2(qm)\mu(qm+1)}{m}\right| \leq \left|\sum_{q\leq x} \frac{\mu(q)d(q)}{q}\right| \left|\sum_{m\leq x/q} \frac{\mu^2(m)\mu(qm+1)}{m}\right| \tag{5.9}$$

$$\leq \left|\sum_{q\leq x} \frac{\mu(q)d(q)}{q}\right| \sum_{m\leq x/q} \frac{1}{m} \tag{5.10}$$

$$\leq (\log x)\left|\sum_{q\leq x} \frac{\mu(q)d(q)}{q}\right|. \tag{5.11}$$

Apply Lemma 3.4 to complete the estimate:

$$\sum_{n\leq x} \frac{\mu(n)\mu(n+1)}{n} = O\left(\frac{1}{\log^{C-1} x}\right), \tag{5.12}$$

with $C-1 > 1$ constant. ■

A different approach using exact formula is sketched in problem 5.7, in the Problems subsection. This similar to the proof of Lemma 2.17 in [45, p. 66].

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let $C > 2$ be a constant, and let $\mu : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ be the Mobius function. Then, for any sufficiently large number $x > 1$,*

$$\sum_{n\leq x} \mu(n)\mu(n+1) = O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^C}\right). \tag{5.13}$$

**Proof:** Let $V(x) = \sum_{n\leq x} \frac{\mu(n)\mu(n+1)}{n}$. A summation by parts leads to the integral representation

$$\sum_{n\leq x} \mu(n)\mu(n+1) = \sum_{n\leq x} n \cdot \frac{\mu(n)\mu(n+1)}{n} = \int_1^x t \cdot dV(t). \tag{5.14}$$

For information on the Abel summation formula, see [11, p. 4], [45, p. 4], [56, p. 4].

Lastly, employ Lemma 5.1 to evaluate the integral:

$$\int_1^x t \cdot dV(t) = x \cdot O\left(\frac{1}{\log^C x}\right) + O\left(\int_1^x \frac{1}{\log^C t} dt\right) = O\left(\frac{x}{\log^C x}\right), \tag{5.15}$$

where the constant is $C > 2$. ■

## 5.2 Conditional Upper Bounds

The conditional upper bounds are derived from the optimal zerofree region $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \Re e(s) > 1/2\}$ of the zeta function.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Suppose that* $\zeta(\rho) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \rho = 1/2 + it, t \in \mathbb{R}$. *Let* $\mu : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ *be the Mobius function. Then, for any sufficiently large number* $x > 1$,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)\mu(n+1)}{n} = O\left(\frac{\log^2 x}{x^{1/2}}\right). \tag{5.16}$$

**Theorem 5.4.** *Suppose that* $\zeta(\rho) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \rho = 1/2 + it, t \in \mathbb{R}$. *Let* $\mu : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ *be the Mobius function. Then, for any sufficiently large number* $x > 1$,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)\mu(n+1) = O\left(x^{1/2} \log^2 x\right). \tag{5.17}$$

The proofs are similar to those in Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2, but use the conditional result in Lemma 3.9.

## 5.3 Comparison

A character $\chi : \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a periodic and completely multiplicative function modulo some integer $q \geq 1$, while the Mobius function $\mu : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ is not periodic nor completely multiplicative.

The corresponding correlation functions for the quadratic symbol has an exact evaluation

$$\sum_{n \leq p} \chi(n)\chi(n+k) = -1, \tag{5.18}$$

where $k \nmid p$ and the quadratic symbol is defined by $\chi(n) \equiv n^{(p-1)/2} \mod p$. The well known proof appears in [38, p. ?], and similar sources. Other related topics are considered in [4, p. 196].

## 5.4 Problems

**Problem 5.1.** Show that $\mu(q)\mu(qm+1) \neq \mu(q)^2\mu(m)$ for all but a subset of squarefree integers $qm \geq 1$ of zero density in $\mathbb{N}$, see Lemma 3.10. This implies that the finite sum is not correlated. For example, $\sum_{m,q<x} \mu(q)\mu(qm+1) \neq c\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n+k)^2$ , $c > 0$ constant, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hint: a) try $q \equiv 3 \mod 4$ and $m \equiv 1 \mod 4$ with $qm$ squarefree. b) try prime $q \equiv \pm 1 \mod 4$ and $m \equiv 1 \mod 4$ with $qm$ nonsquarefree.

**Problem 5.2.** Use Lemma 2.3 to decompose the finite sum in the form

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)^2}{n} = \sum_{q \leq x} \frac{\mu(q)^2 d(q)}{q} \sum_{m \leq x/q, \gcd(q,m)=1} \frac{\mu(m)}{m}. \tag{5.19}$$

**Problem 5.3.** Show that $\mu(q)\mu(qm+1) = \mu(q)^2\mu(m)$ for all $m, q \geq 1$, implies correlation. For example, it has a much larger upper bound

$$\left|\sum_{q \leq x} \frac{\mu(q)d(q)}{q} \sum_{m \leq x/q} \frac{\mu(qm+1)}{m}\right| \leq (\log x)\left|\sum_{q \leq x} \frac{\mu(q)^2 d(q)}{q}\right| \ll x^{\varepsilon}, \tag{5.20}$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$, which implies correlation.

**Problem 5.4.** The evaluation of the right side of the series

$$\sum_{q\le x}\frac{\mu(q)^2d(q)}{q}\sum_{m\le x/q,\gcd(m,q)=1}\frac{\mu(m)}{m}=\sum_{n\le x}\frac{\mu(n)^2}{n}. \tag{5.21}$$

is well known, that is, the evaluation of the left side reduces to $\sum_{n\le x}\mu(n)^2)/n = 6\pi^{-2}\log x+O(x^{-1/2})$. Use a different technique to find the equivalent evaluation on the left side. Hint: try the inverse Dirichlet series

$$\frac{1}{L(s,\chi_0)}=\frac{1}{\zeta(s)}\prod_{p|q}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^s}\right)^{-1}, \tag{5.22}$$

where $\chi_0 = 1$ is the principal character mod $q$, see [45, p. 334].

**Problem 5.5.** Verify that $\mu(q)\mu(qm+1) = -1$ for $\gg x/q\log x$ integers $m, q \in [1, x]$. This proves that $\mu(q)\mu(qm+1) \neq \mu(q)^2\mu(m)$ for all $m, q \ge 1$.

**Problem 5.6.** Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be an irreducible polynomial of degree $\deg(f) = 2$. Estimate $\sum_{n\le x}\mu(f(n))$, consult [8] for related works.

**Problem 5.7.** Let $\mu(q)\mu(qm+1) \neq \mu(q)^2\mu(m)$ for all $m, q \ge 1$. Use an exact formula for the inner sum such as

$$\sum_{m\le x/q}\frac{\mu^2(qm)\mu(qm+1)}{m}=\frac{\varphi(q)}{q}\left(\log(x/q)+\gamma(q)+O(q/x)\right), \tag{5.23}$$

where $\gamma(q)$ is a constant depending on $q \ge 1$, to prove Lemma 5.1:

$$\sum_{n\le x}\frac{\mu(n)\mu(n+1)}{n}=\sum_{q\le x}\frac{\mu(q)d(q)}{q}\sum_{m\le x/q}\frac{\mu^2(qm)\mu(qm+1)}{m}=O\left(\frac{1}{\log^{C-1}x}\right). \tag{5.24}$$

Hint: Compare this to the proof for Lemma 2.17 in [45, p. 66].

**Problem 5.8.** Let $x \ge 1$ be a large number. Find an asymptotic formula for the finite sum

$$\sum_{n\le x}\mu^2(n)\mu(n+1)^2\stackrel{?}{=}\frac{6^2}{\pi^4}x+c_1+O(x^{1/2}), \tag{5.25}$$

where $c_1$ is a constant.

**Problem 5.9.** Let $x \ge 1$ be a large number, and let $k \neq 0$. Find an asymptotic formula for the finite sum

$$\sum_{n\le x}\mu^2(n)\mu(n+k)^2\stackrel{?}{=}\frac{6^2}{\pi^4}x+c_k+O(x^{1/2}), \tag{5.26}$$

where $c_k$ is a constant. Hint: find a way or an argument to prove that $\sum_{n\le x}\mu^2(n)\mu(n+k)^2\stackrel{?}{\neq}o(x)$.

# 6 Correlations Functions Of Degree Three

The multiplicative function $\mu$ has sufficiently many sign changes to force meaningful cancellation on the twisted summatory functions $\sum_{n\leq x}\mu(n)f(n)$ for some functions $f:\mathbb{N}\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}$ such that $f(n)\neq\lambda(n),\mu(n)$. The signs changes are discussed in Section 4.

## 6.1 Unconditional Estimates

This Section illustrates the calculations of the correlations functions of degree three.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let $C>2$ be a large number, and let $\mu:\mathbb{N}\longrightarrow\{-1,0,1\}$ be the Mobius function. Then, for any sufficiently large number $x>1$,*

$$\sum_{n\leq x}\frac{\mu(n)\mu(n+1)\mu(n+2)}{n}=O\left(\frac{1}{\log^{C}x}\right). \tag{6.1}$$

**Proof:** By Lemma 2.1, the normalized correlation function has the equivalent form

$$\sum_{n\leq x}\frac{\mu(n)\mu(n+1)\mu(n+2)}{n}=\sum_{n\leq x}\frac{(-1)^{\omega(n)}\mu^{2}(n)\mu(n+1)\mu(n+2)}{n}. \tag{6.2}$$

Applying Lemma 2.2, and reversing the order of summation yield:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n\leq x}\frac{(-1)^{\omega(n)}\mu^{2}(n)\mu(n+1)\mu(n+2)}{n} &= \sum_{n\leq x}\frac{\mu^{2}(n)\mu(n+1)\mu(n+2)}{n}\sum_{q|n}\mu(q)d(q) &(6.3)\\ &= \sum_{q\leq x,\,n\leq x,q|n}\sum\frac{\mu^{2}(n)\mu(n+1)\mu(n+2)\mu(q)d(q)}{n}. &(6.4)\end{aligned}$$

More details and examples on inverting the order of summation are given in [45, p. 35], [49, p. 27], [50, p. 216], [53, p. 83], [56, p. 36], et cetera.
Replacing the change of variable $1\leq n=qm\leq x$, and separating the variables return a basic decomposition as product of two simpler finite sums:

$$\sum_{q\leq x,\,n\leq x,q|n}\sum\frac{\mu^{2}(n)\mu(n+1)\mu(n+2)\mu(q)d(q)}{n}=\sum_{q\leq x}\frac{\mu(q)d(q)}{q}\sum_{m\leq x/q}\frac{\mu^{2}(qm)\mu(qm+1)\mu(qm+2)}{m}. \tag{6.5}$$

The inner finite sum is a Mobius sum over the oblique arithmetic progression $\{(qm+1,qm+2:m\in\mathbb{N}\}$ restricted to the squarefree integers $qm\geq 1$, see Theorem 3.2 for background and references on this topic. This basic decomposition into a product of two finite sums, confer (6.2) to (6.5), facilitates a simple technique for estimating its upper bound.

A routine calculation shows that $\mu(qm+1)\mu(qm+2)\neq\mu(q)\mu(m)$ for all but a subset of integers $qm\geq 1$ of zero density in $\mathbb{N}$, see Lemma 3.10, and Problems 6.1 and 6.2. This constraint preempts the possibility of a correlated finite sum, exempli gratia,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{q\leq x}\frac{\mu(q)d(q)}{q}\sum_{m\leq x/q}\frac{\mu^{2}(qm)\mu(qm+1)\mu(qm+2)}{m} &= \sum_{q\leq x}\frac{\mu(q)^{2}d(q)}{q}\sum_{\substack{m\leq x/q,\\ \gcd(m,q)=1}}\frac{\mu(m)}{m} &(6.6)\\ &\gg \log x. &(6.7)\end{aligned}$$

Take the absolute value of the penultimate equation to reach the inequality: Taking absolute value

yields the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\sum_{q\leq x}\frac{\mu(q)d(q)}{q}\sum_{m\leq x/q}\frac{\mu^2(qm)\mu(qm+1)\mu(qm+2)}{m}\right| &\leq \left|\sum_{q\leq x}\frac{\mu(q)d(q)}{q}\right| \\ &\quad\times\left|\sum_{m\leq x/q}\frac{\mu^2(qm)\mu(qm+1)\mu(qm+2)}{m}\right| \\ &\leq \left|\sum_{q\leq x}\frac{\mu(q)d(q)}{q}\right|\sum_{m\leq x/q}\frac{1}{m} \\ &\leq (\log x)\left|\sum_{q\leq x}\frac{\mu(q)d(q)}{q}\right|.\end{aligned}$$

Apply Lemma 3.4 to complete the estimate:

$$\sum_{n\leq x}\frac{\mu(n)\mu(n+1)\mu(n+2)}{n}=O\left(\frac{1}{\log^{C-1}x}\right), \tag{6.8}$$

with $C-1>1$ constant. Quod erat demonstrandum. ■

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let $C>2$ be a constant, and let $\mu:\mathbb{N}\longrightarrow\{-1,0,1\}$ be the Mobius function. Then, for any sufficiently large number $x>1$,*

$$\sum_{n\leq x}\mu(n)\mu(n+1)\mu(n+2)=O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^C}\right). \tag{6.9}$$

**Proof:** Let $V(x)=\sum_{n\leq x}\frac{\mu(n)\mu(n+1)\mu(n+2)}{n}$. A summation by parts leads to the integral representation

$$\sum_{n\leq x}\mu(n)\mu(n+1)\mu(n+2)=\sum_{n\leq x}n\cdot\frac{\mu(n)\mu(n+1)\mu(n+2)}{n}=\int_1^x t\cdot dV(t). \tag{6.10}$$

For information on the Abel summation formula, see [11, p. 4], [45, p, 4], [56, p. 4].

Lastly, employ Lemma 6.1 to evaluate the integral:

$$\int_1^x t\cdot dV(t)=x\cdot O\left(\frac{1}{\log^C x}\right)+O\left(\int_1^x\frac{1}{\log^C t}dt\right)=O\left(\frac{x}{\log^C x}\right), \tag{6.11}$$

where the constant is $C>2$. Quod erat demonstrandum. ■

This idea generalizes to the calculations for correlation functions of higher degrees $>3$. Moreover, a recursive calculation of the correlation function of degree three, using the result for the correlation function of degree two, is also feasible

## 6.2 Conditional Upper Bounds

The conditional upper bounds are derived from the optimal zerofree region $\{s\in\mathbb{C}:\Re e(s)>1/2\}$ of the zeta function $\zeta(s), s\in\mathbb{C}$.

**Theorem 6.3.** *Suppose that $\zeta(\rho)=0\Longleftrightarrow\rho=1/2+it, t\in\mathbb{R}$. Let $\mu:\mathbb{N}\longrightarrow\{-1,0,1\}$ be the Mobius function. Then, for any sufficiently large number $x>1$,*

$$\sum_{n\leq x}\frac{\mu(n)\mu(n+1)\mu(n+2)}{n}=O\left(\frac{\log^2 x}{x^{1/2}}\right). \tag{6.12}$$

**Theorem 6.4.** *Suppose that* $\zeta(\rho) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \rho = 1/2 + it, t \in \mathbb{R}$. *Let* $\mu : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \{-1, 0, 1\}$ *be the Mobius function. Then, for any sufficiently large number* $x > 1$,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)\mu(n+1)\mu(n+2) = O\left(x^{1/2} \log^2 x\right). \tag{6.13}$$

The proofs are similar to those in Theorems 6.1 and 6.2, but use the conditional result in Lemmas 3.8, 3.9.

## 6.3 Comparison

The corresponding correlation functions of degree three for quadratic symbols have well known upper Weil bound:

$$\sum_{n \leq p} \chi(n)\chi(n+a)\chi(n+b) \leq 2\sqrt{p}, \tag{6.14}$$

where $0 \not\equiv ab \mod p$ and $a \not\equiv b \mod p$, see [4, p. 183]. The quadratic symbol is defined by $\chi(n) \equiv n^{(p-1)/2} \mod p$. In some cases there are exact evaluations, see [4, p. 206] and similar sources. In addition, there are specialized algorithms to compute them, confer the literature on CM curves.

## 6.4 Problems

**Problem 6.1.** Show that $\mu(q)\mu(qm+1)\mu(qm+2) \neq \mu(q)^2\mu(m)$for all but a subset of integers $qm \geq 1$ of zero density in $\mathbb{N}$, see Lemma 3.10. This implies that the finite sum $\sum_{m \leq x/q} \frac{\mu(qm+1)\mu(qm+2)}{m}$ is not correlated.

**Problem 6.2.** Verify that $\mu(qm+1)\mu(qm+2) = \mu(q)\mu(m)$ for all $m, q \geq 1$ with $\gcd(m, q) = 1$, implies that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)\mu(n+1)\mu(n+2)}{n} = \sum_{q \leq x} \frac{\mu(q)^2 d(q)}{q} \sum_{m \leq x/q, \gcd(m,q)=1} \frac{\mu(m)}{m} \gg (\log x). \tag{6.15}$$

Thus, there is correlation.

**Problem 6.3.** Verify that arithmetic function equation $\mu(qm+1)\mu(qm+2) = -1$ holds for $\gg x/q \log^8 x$ integers $m, q \in [1, x]$, confer [12], [32], et alii. This proves that $\mu(qm+1)\mu(qm+2) \neq \mu(q)\mu(m)$ for all $m, q \geq 1$.

**Problem 6.4.** Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be an irreducible polynomial of degree $\deg(f) = 3$. Estimate $\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(f(n))$.

**Problem 6.5.** Use Lemmas 2.2, 2.3, to compute a decomposition of

$$(i) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)^2\mu(n+1)\mu(n+2)}{n} \qquad (ii) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)^2\mu(n+1)^2\mu(n+2)}{n} \tag{6.16}$$

as a product of two simpler finite sums.

# 7 Correlations Functions Of Higher Degrees

The signs changes of multiplicative functions are studied in [12], [24], [30], [29], [39, Corollary 4]. In addition, some discussion are given in Section 4.

Recent contributions on the correlations of Liouville and Mobius functions are described in Section 1, and appear in [16], [17], [43], [19], [39], et alii.

## 7.1 Unconditional Estimates

The next result provides some analytic tools for the estimations of certain correlations functions such as those in Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2 and the twisted finite sums $\sum_{n\leq x}\mu(n)f(n)$ of high degrees $\geq 3$. It is a straight forward generalization of Sections 5 and 6 for the correlations functions of degrees two and three. The multiplicative structure of the function provides additional flexibility in the evaluation or estimation of the twisted finite sum $\sum_{n\leq x}\mu(n)f(n)$.

**Lemma 7.1.** *Let $C > B+1 \geq 1$ be a constant, and let $f, g : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be multiplicative functions. Assume that*

(i) $f(n) \ll \log^B x$ *for all* $n \geq 1$.

(ii) $f(n) \neq \mu(n)g(n), \mu_*(n)g(n), \lambda(n)g(n)$ *for all but a subset of integers* $n \geq 1$ *of zero density in* $\mathbb{N}$.

*Then, for any sufficiently large number $x > 1$*

$$\sum_{n\leq x}\frac{\mu(n)f(n)}{n} = O\left(\frac{1}{(\log x)^C}\right). \tag{7.1}$$

**Proof:** By Lemma 2.1, the normalized twisted sum has the equivalent form

$$\sum_{n\leq x}\frac{\mu(n)f(n)}{n} = \sum_{n\leq x}\frac{(-1)^{\omega(n)}\mu^2(n)f(n)}{n}. \tag{7.2}$$

Apply Lemma 2.2, and reverse the order of summation to obtain this:

$$\sum_{n\leq x}\frac{(-1)^{\omega(n)}\mu^2(n)f(n)}{n} = \sum_{n\leq x}\frac{\mu^2(n)f(n)}{n}\sum_{q|n}\mu(q)d(q) \tag{7.3}$$

$$= \sum_{q\leq x,}\sum_{n\leq x, d|n}\frac{\mu^2(n)f(n)\mu(q)d(q)}{n}. \tag{7.4}$$

Information on inverting the order of summation are given in [45, p. 35], [49, p. 27], [50, p. 216], [53, p. 83], [56, p. 36], et cetera.

Replacing the change of variable $1 \leq n = qm \leq x$, and separating the variables return a basic decomposition as product of two simpler finite sums:

$$\sum_{q\leq x,}\sum_{n\leq x, q|n}\frac{\mu^2(n)f(n)\mu(q)d(q)}{n} = \sum_{q\leq x}\frac{\mu(q)d(q)}{q}\sum_{m\leq x/q}\frac{\mu^2(qm)f(qm)}{m} \tag{7.5}$$

$$= \sum_{q\leq x}\frac{\mu(q)d(q)f(q)}{q}\sum_{m\leq x/q}\frac{\mu^2(m)f(m)}{m}. \tag{7.6}$$

The inner finite sum is a twisted Mobius sum restricted to the squarefree integers $qm \geq 1$. This basic decomposition into a product of two simpler finite sums, confer (7.2) to (7.5), facilitates a simple technique for estimating its upper bound.

By hypothesis, $f(n) \neq \mu(n)g(n), \mu_*(n)g(n), \lambda(n)g(n)$. Thus,

$$f(qm) \neq \mu(q)g(m), \qquad \mu_*(q)g(m), \qquad \lambda(q)g(m), \tag{7.7}$$

for all but a subset of squarefree integers $qm \geq 1$ of zero density in $\mathbb{N}$, refer to Lemma 3.10 for some information. This constraint preempts the possibility of a correlated finite sum

$$\sum_{q\leq x} \frac{\mu(q)d(q)}{q} \sum_{m\leq x/q} \frac{\mu^2(qm)f(qm)}{m} = \sum_{q\leq x} \frac{\mu(q)^2 d(q)}{q} \sum_{m\leq x/q, \gcd(m,q)=1} \frac{\mu(m)}{m} \gg \log x. \tag{7.8}$$

Take the absolute value of the penultimate equation to reach the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{q\leq x} \frac{\mu(q)d(q)}{q} \sum_{m\leq x/q} \frac{\mu^2(qm)f(qm)}{m} \right| &\leq \left| \sum_{q\leq x} \frac{\mu(q)d(q)}{q} \right| \left| \sum_{m\leq x/q} \frac{\mu^2(qm)f(qm)}{m} \right| && (7.9) \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{q\leq x} \frac{\mu(q)d(q)}{q} \right| \sum_{m\leq x/q} \frac{|\mu^2(qm)f(qm)|}{m} && (7.10) \\ &\leq (\log x)^{B+1} \left| \sum_{q\leq x} \frac{\mu(q)d(q)}{q} \right|. && (7.11) \end{aligned}$$

Apply Lemma 3.4 to complete the estimate:

$$\sum_{n\leq x} \frac{f(n)\mu(n)}{n} = O\left( \frac{1}{\log^{C-B-1} x} \right), \tag{7.12}$$

with $C > B + 1 \geq 1$ constant. ■

**Theorem 7.2.** *Let $C > B + 1 \geq 1$ be a constant, and let $f, g : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be multiplicative functions. Assume that*

(i) *$f(n) \ll \log^B x$ for all $n \geq 1$.*

(ii) *$f(n) \neq \mu(n)g(n), \mu_*(n)g(n), \lambda(n)g(n)$ for all but a subset of integers $n \geq 1$ of zero density in $\mathbb{N}$.*

*Then, for any sufficiently large number $x > 1$*

$$\sum_{n\leq x} \mu(n)f(n) = O\left( \frac{x}{(\log x)^{C-B-1}} \right). \tag{7.13}$$

**Proof:** Let $V(x) = \sum_{n\leq x} \frac{\mu(n)f(n)}{n}$. Thus, Lemma 7.1 is applicable.

A summation by parts leads to the integral representation

$$\sum_{n\leq x} \mu(n)f(n) = \sum_{n\leq x} n \cdot \frac{\mu(n)f(n)}{n} = \int_1^x t \cdot dV(t). \tag{7.14}$$

For information on the Abel summation formula, see [11, p. 4], [45, p. 4], [56, p. 4]. Use Lemma 3.1 to compute an upper bound for the integral:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_1^x t \cdot dV(t) \right| &\leq x \cdot \left| \int_1^x dV(t) \right| && (7.15) \\ &\leq x \cdot (V(x) - V(1)) && (7.16) \\ &= O\left( \frac{x}{(\log x)^{C-B-1}} \right), && (7.17) \end{aligned}$$

where $V(x) - V(1) \ll (1/(\log x)^{C-B-1}$, with $C > B + 1 \geq 1$ constant. ■

The correlated case $\mu(n)f(n) = \alpha\mu(n)^2$, where $\alpha > 0$ is a constant, collapses to the norm $\sum_{n\leq x} \mu(n)f(n) = \alpha \sum_{n\leq x} \mu(n)^2 \gg x$.

## 7.2 Conditional Upper Bounds

The conditional upper bounds are derived from the optimal zerofree region $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \Re e(s) > 1/2\}$ of the zeta function $\zeta(s), s \in \mathbb{C}$.

**Theorem 7.3.** *Let $f, g : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be multiplicative functions. Assume that*

(i) $\zeta(\rho) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \rho = 1/2 + it, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

(ii) $f(n) \ll \log^B x$ *for all* $n \geq 1$.

(iii) $f(n) \neq \mu(n)g(n), \mu_*(n)g(n), \lambda(n)g(n)$ *for all but a subset of integers* $n \geq 1$ *of zero density in* $\mathbb{N}$.

*Then, for any sufficiently large number* $x > 1$

$$\sum_{n\leq x} \frac{\mu(n)f(n)}{n} = O\left(\frac{(\log x)^{B+1}}{x^{1/2}}\right). \tag{7.18}$$

**Theorem 7.4.** *Let $f, g : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be multiplicative functions. Assume that*

(i) $\zeta(\rho) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \rho = 1/2 + it, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

(ii) $f(n) \ll \log^B x$ *for all* $n \geq 1$.

(iii) $f(n) \neq \mu(n)g(n), \mu_*(n)g(n), \lambda(n)g(n)$ *for all but finitely many integers* $n \geq 1$.

*Then, for any sufficiently large number* $x > 1$

$$\sum_{n\leq x} \mu(n)f(n) = O\left(x^{1/2}(\log x)^{B+1}\right). \tag{7.19}$$

The proofs are similar to the proofs of Theorems 7.1 and 7.2, but use the conditional results in Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9.

## 7.3 Problems

**Problem 7.1.** Given a multiplicative function $f$, estimate or determine an asymptotic formula for $\sum_{n\leq x} \mu(n)^2 f(n)$, for a multiplicative function $f$. What if it is not multiplicative?

**Problem 7.2.** Let $1 \leq a < q, \gcd(a, q) = 1$ be fixed integers. Given a multiplicative function $f$, estimate or determine an asymptotic formula for $\sum_{n\leq x, n\equiv a \bmod q} \mu(n)^2 f(n)$, . What if it is not multiplicative?

# 8 Some Arithmetic Correlation Functions

Let $f : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an arithmetic function, and let $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots \tau_k \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $k \geq 1$ be fixed integers. The $k$-degree correlation function of $f$ is defined by

$$R(\tau) = \sum_{n \leq x} f(n + \tau_1) f(n + \tau_2) \cdots f(n + \tau_k). \tag{8.1}$$

The case $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \cdots = \tau_k$, with $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, is usually not difficulty to estimate or calculate. But the case $\tau_i \neq \tau_j$ for some $i \neq j$ is usually a challenging problem.

Trivially, the correlation function has the upper bound $|R(\tau)| \ll |f|^k x$. And a priori, a random sequence $f(n), f(n+1), f(n+3), \dots$ is expected to have the upper bound $|R(\tau)| \ll |f|^k x^{1/2} (\log x)^B$, where $B > 0$ is a constant, see [13], [14], [[15], Theorem 2], and [2]. Some extreme cases such that $|R(\tau)| \gg |f|^k x$, are demonstrated in [41].

## 8.1 Divisors Correlation Functions

For instance, the shifted divisor problem $\sum_{n \leq x} d(n)d(n+1)$ was estimated in 1928, see [35], and [2]. The next level of complexity is $\sum_{n \leq x} d(n)d(n+1)d(n+2)$. This was estimated in 2015, see [7], and the functions fields version was proved in [3].

## 8.2 Characters Correlation Functions

The characters $\chi : \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are periodic and completely multiplicative functions modulo some integers $q \geq 1$. Often, these properties allow simpler analysis of the character correlation functions. Several classes of these correlation functions have been settled. One of these is the binary sequence of (Legendre) quadratic symbol $f(n) = \chi(n)$, where $\chi(n) \equiv n^{(p-1)/2} \mod p$. Specifically,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \chi(n + \tau_1)\chi(n + \tau_2) \cdots \chi(n + \tau_k) = O(kx^{1/2} \log x). \tag{8.2}$$

This is estimate, which is derived from the Weil bound, is dependent on the degree $k \geq 1$, and the sequence of integers $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_k$, see [4, p. 183], [**?**]p. 112]CS02, and the literature.

---

# 9 Improved Correlation Result

This Section completes the proofs for the correlation of the Mobius functions, and correlation of the Liouville functions over the interval $[1, x]$.

## 9.1 Main Results

**Proof of Theorem 1.1:** Without loss in generality, let $\tau_0 = 0, \tau_1 = 1, \dots, \tau_k = k - 1$, and let $f(n) = \mu(n+1) \cdots \mu(n+k-1)$,where $k \geq 1$ is a fixed constant. By routine calculations, it can be demonstrated that $f(qm) \neq \mu(q)g(m)$ for all but a subset of integers $n = qm \geq 1$ of zero density in $\mathbb{N}$, see Lemma 3.10. Ergo, the expression $\mu(q)f(qm) = \mu(q)\mu(qm+1) \cdots \mu(qm+k-1) \neq \mu(q)^2\mu(m)$

for all but a subset of integers $qm \geq 1$ of zero density in $\mathbb{N}$, see Lemma 3.10, and Problems 5.1, and 5.2. These imply that the finite sum is not correlated. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n\leq x} \mu(n)\mu(n+1)\cdots\mu(n+k-1) &= \sum_{n\leq x} \mu(n)f(n) && (9.1) \\ &\neq \sum_{n\leq x} \mu(n+r)^2, && (9.2) \end{aligned}$$

for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Theorem 7.2, this becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n\leq x} \mu(n)\mu(n+1)\cdots\mu(n+k-1) &= \sum_{n\leq x} \mu(n)f(n) && (9.3) \\ &= O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^{C-B-1}}\right), && (9.4) \end{aligned}$$

where $C - B - 1 > 1$ is a constant, and $B = 0$ since $|f(n)| \leq 1$. ■

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is the same as the proof of Theorem 1.1 above, but use Lemma 3.5.

In synopsis, replacing $\tau = k - 1$, the correlation function $R(\tau) = \sum_{n\leq x} \mu(n)\mu(n+1)\cdots\mu(n+\tau)$ is a "two-value" function, videlicet,

$$R(\tau) = \begin{cases} x & \tau = 2m-1, \\ O(x/(\log x)^{-C}) & \tau \neq 2m-1, \end{cases} \tag{9.5}$$

with $R(0) = \sum_{n\leq x} \mu(n)^2 \gg x$, which is the energy of the function $f(n) = \mu(n)$ over the interval $[1, x]$.

### 9.2 Comparison

The corresponding correlation functions of degree $k \geq 2$ for quadratic symbols have well known upper Weil bound:

$$\sum_{n\leq p} \chi(f(n)) \leq 2k\sqrt{p}, \tag{9.6}$$

where $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a polynomial such that $f(x) \neq g(x)^2$, see [4, p. 183], and similar references. The quadratic symbol is defined by $\chi(n) \equiv n^{(p-1)/2} \mod p$.

Another advantage offered by the Mobius sequence $\{\mu(n) : n \geq 1\}$ and the Liouville sequence $\{\lambda(n) : n \geq 1\}$ are independence on the degree $k \geq 1$. In the case of the sequence of quadratic symbol $\chi(n), \chi(n+1), \ldots, \chi(n+k-1)$, the correlation is dependent on the degree $k \geq 1$, compare (9.3) and (9.6).

## 10 Correlation Functions over Subsets of Integers

Some works have been done to estimate the correlation of Liouville functions over specific subsets of integers.

**Definition 10.1.** A subset of integers $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ is called a *normal* subset if any binary sequence $s(N) = (s_0(n_0), s_1(n_1), ..., s_{N-1}(n_{N-1}))$, $s_i \in \{-1, 1\}$, where $n_i \in A$ of length $N \geq 1$ occurs with probability $2^{-N}$.

Let $Q \subset \mathbb{P} = \{2, 3, 5, \ldots\}$ be a subset of primes. The restricted Liouville function is defined by

$$\lambda_Q(p) = \begin{cases} -1 & n \in Q, \\ 0 & n \notin Q. \end{cases} \tag{10.1}$$

**Theorem 10.2.** ([25]) *Let $k \geq 1$ be a small fixed integer. Then, for every large number $x > 1$,*

$$\sum_{n \leq x,\, n+n_i \in Q} \lambda_Q(n+n_1)\lambda_Q(n+n_2)\cdots\lambda_Q(n+n_k) = O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^C}\right) \tag{10.2}$$

*for any fixed sequence of integers $n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_k$,* for which $n + n_i \in Q$.

This result has application in Diophantine equations on restricted subsets of integers. Several problems are described in the same reference.

---

# 11 Exponential Sums Estimates

Exponential sums $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n)e^{i2\pi\alpha n}$, where $0 < \alpha < 1$ is a real number, with the multiplicative coefficient $f(n) = \mu(n)$ and $f(n) = \lambda(n)$ are treated here. The earlier result on these exponential sums appears to be $\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)e^{i2\pi\alpha n} = O(x(\log x)^{-c}), c > 0$, in [18]. Recent contributions appear in [21], [46], [31], [9], [5], [42], [39], et alii.

## 11.1 Sharper Estimate

The proof for a better unconditional estimate relies on the zerofree region $\Re e(s) > 1 - c_0/\log t$, where $c_0 > 0$ is a constant, and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

**Theorem 11.1.** ([31]) *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ be a real number such that $0 < \alpha < 1$, and let $x \geq 1$ be a large number. Then,*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)e^{i2\pi\alpha n} = O\left(xe^{-c\sqrt{\log x}}\right), \tag{11.1}$$

where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant.

This result is a corollary of a more general result for arbitrary functions of certain forms given in Theorem 7.2. This proof is a lot simpler than the proofs given in [5], and [42], which are based on the circle methods and the Vaugham identity respectively.

## 11.2 Conditional Upper Bound

An upper bound conditional on the generalized Riemann hypothesis proved in [6] claims that $\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)e^{i2\pi\alpha n} \ll x^{3/4+\varepsilon}$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is an arbitrarily small number. The analysis is based on the zerofree region of $L$-functions.

An improved upper bound derived from the optimal zerofree region $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \Re e(s) > 1/2\}$ of the zeta function is presented here.

**Theorem 11.2.** *Suppose that* $\zeta(\rho) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \rho = 1/2 + it, t \in \mathbb{R}$. *Let* $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ *be a real number such that* $0 < \alpha < 1$, *nd let* $x \geq 1$ *be a large number. Then,*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) e^{i2\pi\alpha n} = O\left(x^{1/2} \log^2 x\right). \tag{11.2}$$

**Proof:** Let $f(n) = e^{i2\pi\alpha n}$ with $0 < \alpha < 1$ a real number. Two cases will be examined.
*Case* 1. Assume $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ be an irrational number. A routine calculation shows that $\mu(n) \neq e^{i\pi\alpha n}$ for all integers but $n = 0$. Ergo,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) f(n) \neq \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n+m)^2 \tag{11.3}$$

for any fixed integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. This confirms that there is no correlation, and Lemmas 7.1 and 7.2 are applicable. Let $V(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n) f(n)}{n}$. A summation by parts leads to the integral representation

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) f(n) = \sum_{n \leq x} n \cdot \frac{\mu(n) f(n)}{n} = \int_1^x t \cdot dV(t). \tag{11.4}$$

Lastly, employ Lemma 6.3 to evaluate the integral:

$$\int_1^x t \cdot dV(t) = x \cdot O\left(\frac{\log^3 x}{x^{1/2}}\right) + O\left(\int_1^x \frac{\log^2 t}{t^{1/2}} dt\right) = O\left(x^{1/2} \log^3 x\right). \tag{11.5}$$

*Case* 2. Assume $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ be a rational number. Then, $f(n) = e^{i2\pi\alpha n}$ is a periodic function.Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) e^{i2\pi\alpha n} &= \sum_{k \bmod q,\, n \equiv k \bmod q} \mu(n) e^{i2\pi\alpha n} \\ &= \sum_{k \bmod q,} e^{i2\pi\alpha k} \sum_{n \equiv k \bmod q} \mu(n) \\ &= O\left(x^{1/2} \log^2 x\right) \end{aligned} \tag{11.6}$$

where $\alpha = m/q$. ■

The same estimate can be computed by other means, such as the Perron formula.

## 11.3 Problems

**Problem 11.1.** Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ be a rational number. Show that the subset of integers $A_\alpha = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(n) e^{i2\pi\alpha n} = \mu^2(n)\}$ is infinite but has zero density in the set of integers $\mathbb{N}$. Hint: Consider squarefree integers $\alpha n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

**Problem 11.2.** Let $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ be an irrational number. Show that $\mu(n) e^{i2\pi\alpha n} \neq \mu^2(n)$ for all integers $n \geq 1$.

**Problem 11.3.** Let $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ be an irrational number. Compute an estimate for $\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) e^{i2\pi\alpha n^2}$ for large numbers $x \geq 1$.

**Problem 11.4.** Let $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ be an irrational number. Compute an estimate for $\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) e^{i2\pi\alpha n^3}$ for large numbers $x \geq 1$.

**Problem 11.5.** Let $x \geq 1$ be a large number, and let $s(n) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k} n_i$, where $n = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k} n_i \cdot 2^i$. Compute an estimate for the finite sums

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\mu(n)(-1)^{s(n)}}{n} \text{ and } \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)(-1)^{s(n)}. \tag{11.7}$$

**Problem 11.6.** Let $x \geq 1$ be a large number.Compute an estimate for the finite sum

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu^2(n) e^{i2\pi\alpha n}. \tag{11.8}$$

## 12 Twisted Arithmetic Sums

A sample of finite sums of arithmetic functions twisted by the Mobius function are given here.

**Theorem 12.1.** *Suppose that $\zeta(\rho) = 0 \longleftrightarrow \rho = 1/2 + it, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\varphi$ be the totient function, and let $x \geq 1$ be a large number. Then,*

$$\text{(i) } \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)\varphi(n) = O\left(x^{3/2} \log x\right) \text{ and (ii) } \sum_{n \leq x} \lambda(n)\varphi(n) = O\left(x^{3/2} \log x\right). \tag{12.1}$$

**Proof:** Let $\varphi(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d)d$. Rewrite the sum as

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)\varphi(n) = \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) \sum_{d|n} \mu(d)d = \sum_{d \leq x} \mu(d)d \sum_{n \leq x, d|n} \mu(n). \tag{12.2}$$

Now let $n = dm \leq x$. Substituting this returns

$$\sum_{d \leq x} \mu(d)d \sum_{n \leq x, d|n} \mu(n) = \sum_{d \leq x} \mu(d)^2 d \sum_{m \leq x/d} \mu(m). \tag{12.3}$$

Using Lemma 3.1 yields

$$\sum_{d \leq x} \mu(d)^2 d \sum_{m \leq x/d} \mu(m) \ll \sum_{d \leq x} \mu(d)^2 d \left(\frac{x^{1/2} \log(x/d)}{d^{1/2}}\right) \ll (x^{1/2} \log x) \sum_{d \leq x} \mu(d)^2 d^{1/2}. \tag{12.4}$$

Let $Q(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n)^2 \ll x$. A summation by parts leads to the integral representation

$$(x^{1/2} \log x) \sum_{d \leq x} \mu(d)^2 d^{1/2} = \int_1^x t^{1/2} \cdot dQ(t). \tag{12.5}$$

Evaluate the integral to complete the proof. ■

## 13 Fractional Functions

The alternating fractional function has the Fourier series

$$D(x) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\sin(2\pi nx)}{n} = \begin{cases} \{x\} - 1/2 & x \notin \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0 & x \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases} \tag{13.1}$$

**Theorem 13.1.** ([18]) *Let $a_n, n \geq 1$, be a sequence of numbers, and let $A_n = \sum_{d|n} a_d$. If the series $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_n}{n^s}$ is absolutely convergent for $\Re e(s) > 1$, then, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_n}{n} D(nx) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{A_n}{n} \sin(2\pi nx). \tag{13.2}$$

This result was proved in [18], and improved in [54].

**Lemma 13.2.** (i) *The alternating fractional function $D(nx)$ is not orthogonal (it is correlated) to the Liouville function $\lambda(n)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Z}$.*
(ii) *The alternating fractional function $D(nx)$ is not orthogonal (it is correlated) to the Mobius function $\mu(n)$ for all $2x \neq m$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

**Proof:** (i) Let $a_n = \lambda(n)$, and $A_n = \sum_{d|n} \lambda(d) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = m^2, \\ 0 & n \neq m^2. \end{cases}$ Then, the series $\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{a_n}{n^s} = \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^s}$ is absolutely convergent for $\Re e(s) > 1$. And the right side of the series

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\lambda(n)}{n} D(nx) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{A_n}{n} \sin(2\pi nx) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{1}{n^2} \sin(2\pi n^2 x) \tag{13.3}$$

converges to a nonzero value if and only if $x \neq 0$. Therefore, by Theorem 13.1, left side converges to the same nonzero number. Specifically,

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\lambda(n)}{n} D(nx) = c_0 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right). \tag{13.4}$$

This implies that the functions $\lambda(n)$ and $D(nx)$ are not orthogonal (but are correlated). (ii) Let $a_n = \mu(n)$, and $A_n = \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1, \\ 0 & n \neq 1. \end{cases}$ Then, the series $\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{a_n}{n^s} = \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^s}$ is absolutely convergent for $\Re e(s) > 1$. And the right side of the series

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} D(nx) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{A_n}{n} \sin(2\pi nx) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sin(2\pi x) \tag{13.5}$$

is a nonzero value if and only if $2x \neq m$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, by Theorem 13.1, left side converges to the same nonzero number. Specifically,

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} D(nx) = c_1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right). \tag{13.6}$$

This implies that the functions $\mu(n)$ and $D(nx)$ are orthogonal (not correlated). ■

**Example 13.3.** The sequence $\{n/4 - 1/2 \bmod 4 : n \geq 1\}$ and $\{\mu(n) : n \geq 1\}$ are orthogonal, (not correlated).

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} D(nx) = c_1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right)$$

## References


[1] Apostol, Tom M. Introduction to analytic number theory. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1976.

[2] Alon, N.; Kohayakawa, Y.; Mauduit, C.; Moreira, C. G.; Radl, V. Measures of pseudo randomness for finite sequences: typical values. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 95 (2007), no. 3, 778-812.

[3] J. C. Andrade, L. Bary-Soroker, Z. Rudnick. Shifted convolution and the Titchmarsh divisor problem over $F_q[t]$, arXiv:1407.2076.

[4] Berndt, Bruce C.; Evans, Ronald J.; Williams, Kenneth S. Gauss and Jacobi sums. Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1998.

[5] Bachman, Gennady. On exponential sums with multiplicative coefficients. II. Acta Arith. 106 (2003), no. 1, 41-57.

[6] Baker, R. C.; Harman, G. Exponential sums formed with the Mobius function. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 43 (1991), no. 2, 193-198.

[7] Valentin Blomer. On triple correlations of divisor functions, arXiv:1512.03278.

[8] Borwein, Peter; Ferguson, Ron; Mossinghoff, Michael J. Sign changes in sums of the Liouville function. Math. Comp. 77 (2008), no. 263, 1681-1694.

[9] Balog, Antal; Ruzsa, Imre Z. A new lower bound for the L 1 mean of the exponential sum with the Mobius function. Bull. London Math. Soc. 31 (1999), no. 4, 415-418.

[10] Olivier Bordelles, Some Explicit Estimates for the Mobius Function, Journal of Integer Sequences, Vol. 18 (2015), Article 15.11.1.

[11] Cojocaru, Alina Carmen; Murty, M. Ram. An introduction to sieve methods and their applications. London Mathematical Society, 66. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[12] E. S. Croot. On the oscillations of multiplicative functions taking values $-$ + 1. J. Number Theory, 98(1):184-194, 2003.

[13] Cassaigne, Julien; Ferenczi, Sebastien; Mauduit, Christian; Rivat, Joel; Sarkozy, Andras. On finite pseudorandom binary sequences. III. The Liouville function. I. Acta Arith. 87 (1999), no. 4, 367-390.

[14] Cassaigne, Julien; Ferenczi, Sebastien; Mauduit, Christian; Rivat, Joel; Sarkozy, Andras On finite pseudorandom binary sequences. IV. The Liouville function. II. Acta Arith. 95 (2000), no. 4, 343-359.

[15] Cassaigne, Julien; Mauduit, Christian; Sarkozy, Andras. On finite pseudorandom binary sequences. VII. The measures of pseudorandomness. Acta Arith. 103 (2002), no. 2, 97-118.

[16] Carmon, Dan; Rudnick, Zeev The autocorrelation of the Mobius function and Chowla's conjecture for the rational function field. Q. J. Math. 65 (2014), no. 1, 53-61.

[17] Carmon, Dan The autocorrelation of the Mobius function and Chowla's conjecture for the rational function field in characteristic 2. Philos. Trans. A 373 (2015), no. 2040, 20140311, 14 pp.

[18] Harold Davenport. On some series involving arithmetical functions. ii. Quart. J. Math. Oxf., 8:313-320, 1937.

[19] De Koninck, Jean-Marie; German, Laszlo; Katai, Imre On the convolution of the Liouville function under the existence of Siegel zeros. Lith. Math. J. 55 (2015), no. 3, 331-342.

[20] De Koninck, Jean-Marie; Luca, Florian. Analytic number theory. Exploring the anatomy of integers. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 134. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.

[21] Daboussi, H.; Delange, Hubert Quelques proprietes des fonctions multiplicatives de module au plus egal a 1 . (French) C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A 278 (1974), 657-660.

[22] Daboussi, H.; Sarkozy, Andras On the correlation of the truncated Liouville function. Acta Arith. 108 (2003), no. 1, 61-76.

[23] Elliott, P. D. T. A. On the correlation of multiplicative and the sum of additive arithmetic functions. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 112 (1994), no. 538, viii+88 pp.

[24] Elliott, P. D. T. A. Arithmetic functions and integer products. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences, 272. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.

[25] Fish, Alexander Random Liouville functions and normal sets. Acta Arith. 120 (2005), no. 2, 191-196.

[26] Friedlander, John; Iwaniec, Henryk. Opera de cribro. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 57. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.

[27] Grosswald, Emil Topics from the theory of numbers. Second edition. Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1984.

[28] Andrew Granville and K. Soundararajan. Large character sums: pretentious characters and the Polya-Vinogradov theorem. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 20(2):357-384, 2007.

[29] Harman, G.; Pintz, J.; Wolke, D. A note on the Mobius and Liouville functions. Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 20 (1985), no. 1-4, 295-299.

[30] A. Hildebrand, Multiplicative functions at consecutive integers, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 100 (1986), 229-236.

[31] Hajela, D.; Smith, B. On the maximum of an exponential sum of the Mobius function. Number theory (New York, 1984-1985), 145-164, Lecture Notes in Math., 1240, Springer, Berlin, 1987.

[32] Hildebrand, Adolf. On consecutive values of the Liouville function. Enseign. Math. (2) 32 (1986), no. 3-4, 219-226.

[33] H. A. Helfgott, The parity problem for irreducible cubic forms, arXiv:math/0501177.

[34] Hardy, G. H.; Wright, E. M. An introduction to the theory of numbers. Sixth edition. Revised by D. R. Heath-Brown and J. H. Silverman. With a foreword by Andrew Wiles. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008.

[35] A. E. Ingham, Mean-value theorems in the theory of the Riemann Zeta-function, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 27 (1928), 273-300.

[36] Iwaniec, Henryk; Kowalski, Emmanuel Analytic number theory. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 53. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.

[37] Oleksiy Klurman, Correlations of multiplicative functions and applications, arXiv:1603.08453.

[38] Lidl, Rudolf; Niederreiter, Harald Finite fields. With a foreword by P. M. Cohn. Second edition. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 20. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[39] Kaisa Matomaki, Maksym Radziwill, Multiplicative functions in short intervals, arXiv:1501.04585.

[40] Merai L. Winterhof A.: On the pseudorandomness of the Liouville function of polynomials over a finite field, Uniform Distribution Theory 11 (2016), no. 1, 47-58.

[41] Mauduit, Christian; Sarkozy, Andras On finite pseudorandom binary sequences. II. The Champernowne, Rudin-Shapiro, and Thue-Morse sequences, a further construction. J. Number Theory 73 (1998), no. 2, 256-276.

[42] Murty, M. Ram; Sankaranarayanan, A. Averages of exponential twists of the Liouville function. Forum Math. 14 (2002), no. 2, 273-291.

[43] Kaisa Matomaki, Maksym Radziwill, Terence Tao. An averaged form of Chowla's conjecture, arXiv:1503.05121.

[44] Merai L. Winterhof A.: On the pseudorandomness of the Liouville function of polynomials over a finite field, Uniform Distribution Theory 11 (2016), no. 1, 47-58.

[45] Montgomery, Hugh L.; Vaughan, Robert C. Multiplicative number theory. I. Classical theory. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.

[46] Montgomery, H. L.; Vaughan, R. C. Exponential sums with multiplicative coefficients. Invent. Math. 43 (1977), no. 1, 69-82.

[47] Narkiewicz, W. The development of prime number theory. From Euclid to Hardy and Littlewood. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

[48] Niven, Ivan; Zuckerman, Herbert S. An introduction to the theory of numbers. Fourth edition. John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane, 1980.

[49] Rose, H. E. A course in number theory. Second edition. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1994.

[50] Murty, M. Ram. Problems in analytic number theory. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 206. Readings in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2008.

[51] Redmond, Don. Number theory. An introduction. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 201. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1996.

[52] Ribenboim, Paulo, The new book of prime number records, Berlin, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1996.

[53] Shapiro, Harold N. Introduction to the theory of numbers. Pure and Applied Mathematics. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. New York, 1983.

[54] Segal acta arith 1976,, vol xxviii, p. 345

[55] Peter Sarnak, Three Lectures on the Mobius Function Randomness and Dynamics, Preprint 2010.

[56] Tenenbaum, Gerald. Introduction to analytic and probabilistic number theory. Translated from the Third French edition. American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 2015.